GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS
LOK SABHA

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO:2206
ANSWERED ON:05.03.2003
NATIONAL HIGHWAY PROJECTS
A. VENKATESH NAIK;ASHOK NAMDEORAO MOHOL

Will the Minister of ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) the details of National Highway Projects submitted/sanctioned for different States particularly Maharashtra and Karnataka during the current year till date;

(b) whether any contracts were awarded and money sanctioned for the purpose;

(c) if so, the details thereof, contract-wise;

(d) whether extension of time was given to some contractors;

(e) if so, the details thereof;

(f) whether some contractors were debarred by the National Highways Authority of India (NHAI); and

(g) if so, the details thereof ?

Answer

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS (MAJOR GENERAL (RETD KHANDURI)

(a) Details are given at annexure-I including that of Maharashtra and Karnataka.

(b) & (c) The State-wise list of contracts awarded and cost thereof are given at annexure-II.The allotment of funds is done State-wise and not work-wise.

(d) & (e) No extension of time was given for any work sanctioned during the current year.

(f) & (g) The list of contractors who have been debarred by the National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) is given at annexure-III.

ANNEXURE-I
ANNEXURE REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PART (a) OF THE LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 2206 DUE F ANSWER ON 5.3.2003 ASKED BY SHRI ASHOK N. MOHOL AND SHRI A. VENKATESH NAIK REGARDING NAT HIGHWAY PROJECTS.

Sl.No	State	Number of projects submitted for sanction during the year 2002-03	Estimated cost (Rs.in crore)	Disposal status	
				Sanctioned	Returned to State PWD	Pending in Ministry
1	Andhra Pradesh	54	142.85	40	8	6
2	Arunachal pradesh	5	17.42	3	-	-
3	Assam	39	190.00	32	-	-
4	Bihar	103	298.81	23	-	-
5	Chandigarh	2	3.77	-	1	1
6	Chattisgarh	41	92.00	19	-	22
7	Delhi	3	14.43	3	-	-
8	Goa	24	127.73	4	12	8
9	Gujarat	52	155.60	40	5	7
10	Haryana	28	156.85	13	4	11
11	Himachal pradesh	45	131.06	23	15	7
12	Jammu & Kashmir	3	5.5	1	-	2
13	Jharkhand	42	88.06	14	-	-
14	Karnataka	64	144.04	49	-	15
15	Kerala	41	120.07	35	-	6
16	Madhya Pradesh	90	190.00	46	44	-

17	Maharashtra	78	169.00	71	6	1
18	Manipur	26	48.88	5	-	-
19	Meghalaya	20	36.74	11	-	-
20	Mizoram	11	51.01	7	-	-
21	Nagaland	14	16.03	8	-	-
22	Orissa	45	110.89	42	-	3
23	Pondicherry	2	3.32	2	-	-
24	Punjab	46	114.85	20	11	15
25	Rajasthan	63	141.35	49	7	7
26	Tamil Nadu	84	152.48	58	12	14
27	Uttaranchal	25	49.01	1	24	-
28	Uttar Pradesh	161	130.77	43	17	101
29	West Bengal	26	-	24	-	2

ANNEXURE-II

ANNEXURE REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PARTS (b) AND (c) OF THE LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 2206 D FOR ANSWER ON 5.3.2003 ASKED BY SHRI ASHOK N. MOHOL AND SHRI A. VENKATESH NAIK REGARDING NAT HIGHWAY PROJECTS.

Sl.No	State	Number of contracts awarded	Cost of tenders awarded (Rs.in crore	Number of contracts at tender stage	Number of contrats not yet floated
1	Andhra Pradesh	25	58.53	10	5
2	Arunachal Pradesh	1	-	2	-
3	Assam	25	-	4	3
4	Bihar	8	23.68	15	-
5	Chandigarh	-	-	-	-
6	Chattisgarh	16	27.14	3	-
7	Delhi	1	9.51	2	-
8	Goa	1	2.10	3	-
9	Gujarat	14	22.28	26	-
10	Haryana	11	36.74	1	1
11	Himachal Pradesh	11	23.11	5	7
12	Jammu & Kashmir	1	2.21	-	-
13	Jharkhand	12	24.17	2	-
14	Karnataka	44	91.88	5	-
15	Kerala	17	31.14	13	5
16	Madhya Pradesh	39	78.00	2	5
17	Maharashtra	55	119.82	16	-
18	Manipur	3	-	2	-
19	Meghalaya	8	-	3	-
20	Mizoram	5	-	2	-
21	Nagaland	7	-	1	-
22	Orissa	27	43.43	15	-
23	Pondicherry	1	1.00	1	-
24	Punjab	11	24.18	6	3
25	Rajasthan	44	69.88	5	-
26	Tamil Nadu	33	55.83	17	8
27	Uttaranchal	1	0.21	-	-
28	Uttar Pradesh	34	73.54	7	2
29	West Bengal	10	13.59	7	7

ANNEXURE-III

ANNEXURE REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PARTS (f) AND (g) OF THE LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 2206 D FOR ANSWER ON 5.3.2003 ASKED BY SHRI ASHOK N. MOHOL AND SHRI A. VENKATESH NAIK REGARDING NAT HIGHWAY PROJECTS.

The details regarding the contractors, who are debarred by National Highways Authority of India are as follows:-

i) M/s. Punj Lloyd has been debarred for one year with effect from November 1, 1999 for misrepresentation of facts in their bidding documents and

ii) M/s. PT Sumber Mitra Jaya has been debarred in October 2001 from participating in any bidding process in view of non-adherence of contract condition by them. No time period had been indicated for the debarment. The matter is under litigation.